

~~TOP SECRET~~

28 January 1955

Copy No. 79

CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN

DOCUMENT NO. 10
NO CHANGE IN CLASS ☒
DECLASSIFIED
CLASS. CHANGED TO: TS S C
NEXT REVIEW DATE: 2010
AUTH: HR 70-2
DATE: 8 Jan 80 REVIEWER:

Office of Current Intelligence

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

State Dept. review completed

~~TOP SECRET~~

SUMMARY

GENERAL

1. Comment on Communist reaction to President's Formosa message (page 3).

[redacted]

SOUTHEAST ASIA

3. Laotian prince wants clear-cut Western guarantee to protect Laos (page 5).

NEAR EAST - AFRICA

5. Turkey assumes more aggressive role in effort to align Arab states (page 6).

WESTERN EUROPE

7. Italian Communists reported planning "great struggle" against Paris accords (page 8).

* * * *

25X1A

[redacted]

GENERAL

1. Comment on Communist reaction to President's Formosa message:

25X1A

Moscow

[REDACTED]

In its first extended commentary on President Eisenhower's message to Congress on Formosa, Moscow maintained its previous cautious attitude on this issue. Unlike Chou En-lai, who had on 24 January rejected the principle of UN intervention, Soviet commentators limited their criticism to questioning the sincerity of the United States in proposing a cease-fire solution.

As in the past, Moscow made no military commitment to assist Communist China in carrying out its announced intention to liberate Formosa. It continued to stress that this is an internal affair of the "great Chinese people," who have the "sympathy and support of all peace-loving peoples." By not categorically ruling out a cease-fire solution, Moscow left itself room to maneuver. [REDACTED]

25X1A

Peiping

25X1A

[REDACTED]

Peiping radio's first commentary on the President's message hints at a possible modification of Chou En-lai's earlier emphatic rejection of United Nations action to secure a cease-fire in the China area.

The commentary interprets the presidential message as seeking authority for "intervention" on behalf of the offshore islands as well as Formosa and the Pescadores and for "aggression" against the China mainland. Peiping denounces the message as "a serious step in preparation for a new war," and asserts that it "will greatly increase tension. . . and the new war crisis."

Instead of explicitly rejecting the possibility of UN action as an affront to Chinese sovereignty, however,

28 Jan 55

CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN

Page 3

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A001900580001-7

as Chou did on 24 January just prior to issuance of the President's message, Peiping's 27 January commentary follows the evasive line set by Moscow. The President is charged with "deceptive talk" about a cease-fire and with expressing a "hypocritical welcome" for UN action.

This commentary does not provide a firm basis for believing that Peiping will revise its official position--that "liberation" of all Nationalist-held territory is an "internal affair" in which no foreign interference of any kind will be tolerated. It is conceivable, however, that Peiping has come to perceive certain disadvantages in persisting in an aggressive course at this time and certain possibilities for exploitation of UN action.

25X1A

25X1

25X1

SOUTHEAST ASIA

25X1A 3. Laotian prince wants clear-cut Western guarantee to protect Laos:

[REDACTED]

Laos' most influential figure, Crown Prince Savang, says the Communist-supported Pathet Lao has entrenched itself in northern Laos as a result of the cease-fire forced on Laos by the Geneva agreement. He went on to express to the American minister his view that the Manila pact powers should mend this situation with an unambiguous assertion that no violation of Laos' territorial integrity will be permitted.

Savang believes such a declaration would mean the difference between Communist abandonment of the Pathet Lao, which the royal government could then easily disperse, and the progressive infiltration and ultimate loss of Laos. Nevertheless, he rejected the American minister's suggestion that the Laotians deal more vigorously with the Pathet Lao.

Comment: The principal obstacles to the elimination of the Pathet Lao are the government's limited military capabilities and the pressure exerted on the government by Indian truce officials to come to terms with the Pathet Lao.

Savang's willingness to continue negotiations with the Pathet Lao reveals that even a most stalwart anti-Communist sees no immediate alternative to such a course.

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A001900580001-7

NEAR EAST - AFRICA

25X1



5. Turkey assumes more aggressive role in effort to align Arab states:

25X1A



Turkish prime minister Menderes has told Ambassador Warren that he is in contact with the Lebanese, Syrian, Jordanian, and Libyan governments and

has reason to believe that they will support the proposed Turkish-Iraqi pact.

28 Jan 55

CURRENT INTELLIGENCE BULLETIN

Page 6

Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A001900580001-7



25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/10/21 : CIA-RDP79T00975A001900580001-7

Menderes said that the critical stage of the Cairo conference of Arab leaders has passed and that it is time to seize the initiative from the Egyptians in influencing the other Arab states. He believes that Egypt has failed to subvert Iraqi public opinion and cause the overthrow of Nuri Said and has therefore turned its propaganda attack on Turkey. He said Ankara will warn Cairo against Egyptian propaganda attacks on Turkey's Western orientation.

Comment: Menderes' activity may signal the opening of a new and possibly decisive stage in current efforts to gain Arab support for Western defense in the Middle East.

25X1

WESTERN EUROPE

7. Italian Communists reported planning "great struggle" against Paris accords:

Italian foreign minister Martino told the American chargé in Rome on 25 January that it is apparent from the first sessions of the Senate Special Commission on the Paris accords that the Communists intend to place every obstacle possible in the way of ratification. He added, however, that there is still a good prospect for a completion of the ratification process by the end of February or early March.

Comment: The Paris accords were approved by the Chamber of Deputies in December. The Communists put up only feeble opposition in the lower house, because, according to various reports, they were convinced the accords would pass and therefore decided to save their major effort for an attempt to influence the Senate.

A strike called by the Communists on this issue would probably be poorly supported in view of the average Italian worker's lack of enthusiasm for agitation on political issues.